Date of Reporting: 21 November 2001	
Name of Review: Cleansing Services (Refuse Collection, Recycling, Abandoned Vehicles, Seats and Litter Bins)	Street Sweeping,
Chairman: Cllr. John Elected Member: Cllr. Ron Parsons Stanbury	
Lead Officer: Mark Probyn (Head of Amenities)	
Other Review Team Members:	
Mrs Sue McHugh, Chief Finance Officer, (Sponsoring Director)	
Ms Diane Linsdell, Finance Strategy Accountant	
Mr Peter Backler, Finance Officer (TL&A)	
Mr Paul Marsden, Cleansing Contracts Manager	
Ms Heather Tait, Recycling Officer (Up until 31 August 2001)	
Ms Carrol Dell, Administrative Assistant	
Mr Nick Ritson, Strategic Development Officer	
External Review Team member(s):	
Mr Barrie Wennington - Eastbourne Resident	
Ms Angela Howard - Director, Tidy Britain Group	
Mr Ken Stevens - Regional Organiser, Federation of Small Businesses	
Mr Duncan Jordan, Assistant Director, Transport and Environment, ESCC	
Mr Martyn Perry, Technical Manager, Waste Management, ESCC	
Mike Pashler, Works Manager, Wealden District Council	
Estimated Staff hours to date: 400	

 Agreed the scope of the review Fundamentally challenged the need for 	e):				
 Fundamentally challenged the need for 	12 December 2001				
 Fundamentally challenged the need for 	Completed	Underway (estimated date of completion)	Not Started		
	Yes				
2. Fundamentally challenged the need for the service					
	Yes				
		1			
3. Identified how the service meets the corporate aims and how it can positively impact on the objectives	Yes				
4. Fully analysed the resources used in carrying out the service	Yes				
		+			
5. Considered the benefits of alternative means of service delivery	Yes				
6. Identified national and local performance indicators for comparison	Yes				
7. Compared cost effectiveness with appropriate organisations	Yes				
8. The views of residents, users and stakeholders have been sought and specific proposals have been developed as a result	Yes				

9. Results of Consultation fed back to Consultees	Yes		
10. Staff have been fully involved in all stages of the reviews and have been encouraged to contribute.	Yes		
11. Targets and standards to be reached have been proposed			End Nov
			2001
	1	I	
12. The key issues identified by Cabinet have been addressed	Yes		
13. A rigorous action plan has been developed that:			End Nov
			2001
Prioritises areas for improvement, covers all significant areas of service weakness,			
and addresses the concerns raised through the consultation process			
14. The improvements identified in the	I		End
Action Plan are sufficient to reach the top 25% against relevant Performance			Nov
Indicators within a specified time scale that meets statutory requirements.			2001
15 The Astim Direction (1997)	1	Г Г	E. IN.
15. The Action Plan identifies improvement in terms of Efficiency, Economy and			End Nov
Effectiveness.			2001
	1 . 1		
Other issues that the lead officer would like	to draw to the attention	of the Best Value Mar	agement Committee:
The initial review is now nearing its comple Groups final report, including Action Plan, o			e writing the Review
Members of the Best Value Management O September 2001, Committee agreed that the challenges of			
1. Identify options for delivering the	ne service from 2003 or	nwards within the curre	nt cost envelope
2. Consider changes to the current s	service, including movi	ng from back door col	lection to boundary
3. Consider moving to output rathe	r than input based spec	ification of street swee	ping standards

(Mp/ntenv/BVMC Progress Report_Cleansing_November 2001)

٦